



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,929	09/25/2006	Leobardo Montiel-Ortiz	MONTIEL-ORTIZ1	4079
1444 7590 04/01/2008 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			EXAMINER REDDY, KARUNA P	
			ART UNIT 1796	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/01/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Attachment to Advisory Action

1. Applicants' amendment filed 3/26/2008 has been fully considered; however, the amendment has not been entered given that it introduces new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.
2. With respect to new issue, claim 1 introduces the new limitation "wherein the random copolymer has an average molecular weight by number (M_n) from 70,000 to 140,000; an average molecular weight (M_w) from 140,000 to 270,000; a polydispersity from 2.0 to 2.8; and a melt flow index from 2 to 20 g/10 min; the random copolymer being obtained by the polymerization of the components a) to c) in a continuous agitating reactor followed by a tubular reactor; the agitating reactor operating at a temperature of about 120°C and a residence time of about 2 hours; while the tubular reactor operates at an outlet temperature of about 160°C and a residence time of about 1 hour." Claim 13 introduces the new limitation of "polymer mixture being obtained by coextrusion of i) and ii) and having morphology of vast domains in the shape of layers". It is the examiner's position that these are new issues since the present claims limit the random copolymer to exhibit specific properties (i.e. molecular weight, polydispersity and melt flow index), wherein the random copolymer and the polymer mixture is made by a specific process. Therefore, amendment would require further consideration and/or search.

Response to Arguments

3. In the response filed 3/26/2008, applicant argues that amendment to claim 1 is supported by the originally recited claim 12. However, claim 12 recitation includes only properties and not the process by which random copolymer is obtained. Claim 13 as amended does not find support for the limitation that polymer mixture obtained by co-extrusion "has a morphology of vast domains in the shape of layers."

/Karuna P Reddy/
Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/VASUDEVAN S. JAGANNATHAN/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796